UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

*:		SELECT DIVISIO		
United	States of America,	Francisco)	Case No. 24-mj-715/4 MAG	
	Plaintiff, v. Arteaga Defendant(s).)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT AND RULE 5.1	
	Defendant(s).)		
Trial Act fron continuance o	utweigh the best interest of the	public and the	11/6/24, the court excludes time under the Speedy and finds that the ends of justice served by the ne defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § is continuance on the following factor(s):	
	Failure to grant a continuance See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B		tely to result in a miscarriage of justice.	
_	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).			
	Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
\	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
**	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).			
IT IS	SO ORDERED.			
DATE	ED: 11/6/24		12/10	
			Thomas S. Hixson United States Magistrate Judge	
CTIDI	II ATED: Co. 6		Sanial fasts	

Attorney for Defendant

Assistant United States Attorney